

and I myself and many others I know do not own oil stocks, nor do we receive extraordinarily generous campaign contributions which the Republicans and the President do from the oil industry.

So our reaction is not a collective yawn, and there is nothing that can be done about it, and it is just market forces. Ours is to say let us stop the price gouging of American consumers.

Experts say that about 30 percent of this is pure speculation, that is, self-trading, oil being traded off the books purely to enrich the companies and traders.

Another very significant portion comes from the fact that the oil companies, not the environmentalists, oh, the darn environmentalists, they have just been closing refineries left and right. Well, no. Actually, there has not been a single refinery closed in America, although many have been closed in the last 10 years, by environmental restrictions or litigation. They have been closed for economic purposes.

There was a memo 10 years ago from the American Petroleum Institute that said, hey, guys, wake up, you are not making enough money on refining; if you could shrink down refinery capacity, you would have an excuse to drive up margins and the prices of refining. They have exceeded their expectations. In fact, refinery profits from the last year, 12 months, are up 60 percent, 60 percent.

If we return to the historic average margins for refinery, which were profitable but not wildly, unbelievably profitable, gas would go down by another 40 cents a gallon.

So you take out the speculation, you take out what they have done with the manipulation of refinery capacity and you are back down to \$2.30 cents or so a gallon. Now that is not a long-term energy policy, but that is relief for American consumers. That is relief for American business. That gives us the opportunity to begin to invest in a more oil-independent future.

The so-called energy bill that passed the House based on subsidies for the oil, coal and gas industry, you know, they are hurting, they need subsidies from the taxpayers. We need to borrow money to give to them or give them price breaks for their production on Federal lands and not realize those revenues to the Federal Treasury, if that excuse for a so-called energy bill would actually have us more dependent on Middle East oil 10 years from today than we are now.

That is an energy policy? Look at the Middle East. Do we want to be dependent upon the Middle East? Do we want to be filling the coffers of Iran and Saudi Arabia and other OPEC countries? I do not think so.

We need a plan for energy independence in America. We need a plan that is going to develop new technologies here at home that we will market to the rest of the world, that will make Americans energy independent. Somehow

Brazil was able to do it, but they tell us it is just not possible here in the United States of America, we cannot figure out a way to get to energy independence like Brazil.

Now, I do not believe that. The President knows the American people are a little upset. So in his State of the Union he talked about how we need to do more about alternative fuels and alternative technologies. Unfortunately, the money did not follow the mouth. If John Fitzgerald Kennedy had applied that same amount of money to his mission to the Moon, we still would not be in the outer atmosphere of the Earth, let alone the Moon.

There is no real commitment there because real commitment would mean that you are starting to threaten the wildly profitable oil industry.

BP announced today, and they are supposed to be the weakest of the announcements this week, that their profits were up a mere 40 percent over the quarter for last year. ExxonMobil is likely to announce either the largest quarterly profit in history for any corporation on the face of the Earth this week on Thursday or maybe only the second largest. They made \$100 million a day profit last year. They gave their CEO a \$400 million retirement package. They are not investing in new capacity. They are not investing in alternative fuels. They do not care about energy independence for the United States of America. They like the addiction that they have got us on now.

We need an energy policy and we need it soon, and the Republican Party is in thrall with the oil companies.

□ 1830

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. JONES of North Carolina addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

BORDER CORRUPTION

Mr. POE. Mr. Speaker, I request permission to take Mr. JONES's time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. POE. Mr. Speaker, there is more news from the second front. This is the border, and the news is not good. It is disturbing.

There is word tonight that some men and women charged with protecting our borders could be making their yearly salary in just a matter of days by taking bribes. That is right, bribes.

Border Patrol agents, our the first line of defense in the fight to secure America's borders, may have dirty money on their mind instead of illegals, all the while they are compromising national security for a fist full of dollars.

This month, two Border Patrol supervisors pleaded guilty after accepting \$200,000 in bribes and working with the illegals to come into the United States. These supervisors were working on a test program set up between the Border Patrol and the Mexican Government where human smugglers, coyotes as we call them, were arrested in the United States and were supposed to be deported back to Mexico for prosecution. This is the same program that these officials have been raving about. They even came to Washington and testified before Congress that 82 suspected smugglers were returned back to Mexico last year.

But what is worse is these supervisors used a government vehicle to bring two smugglers across the border. One bought his way out of jail for a \$10,000 bribe while another shelled out \$6,000 in bribes so he wouldn't be shipped back to Mexico. Then these two agents, new best friends of these two individuals, dropped them off at a Wal-Mart parking lot.

Their case isn't the only one that is under investigation. In fact, it is far from it. Other Border Patrol agents charged with upholding our laws and our sovereign borders are facing Federal charges and investigations. Border Patrol agent Oscar Ortiz was in fact not even a United States citizen, an illegal himself, and he used a false birth certificate to, get this, Mr. Speaker, become a U.S. border agent and work on our border. His lies were only discovered as he conspired to smuggle in over 100 different people into the United States.

Another example: two Border Patrol agents, brothers, have vanished into the darkness of Mexico because they were being investigated for smuggling drugs and illegal immigrants into the United States. Once they figured out that the Federal Bureau of Investigation was out to capture them, they took off and disappeared, as I said, into Mexico.

Two other agents were indicted for taking bribes and allowing illegals to cross our border for a few dollars.

Experts say targeting border agents is an easy task. You see, here is what happens. On the other side of the river, they watch our border agents with binoculars. They say coyotes look for weak inspectors and then they test them to see whether or not they are lawful.

The way they do it is they send someone across the border, someone like a woman, who will flirt with the agent until he lets her in and the people that are with her in. Once this occurs, then these individuals are approached by these coyotes to see if they will let more people in, all in the name of money.

Mr. Speaker, our national leaders are divided over what to do with immigration, what to do with the people that are here illegally; but the country cannot be divided over corruption on the border by border agents. Americans

aren't okay with people buying and flirting their way into the United States. They demand safe and secure borders and honest and upstanding Border Patrol agents.

Make no doubt about it, most of our Border Patrol agents are honest hard-working men and women. But we must make an example of anyone who breaks the immigration laws, no matter which side of the border they live on. From time to time, we point out even on this House floor corruption of some Mexican Government officials that work along the southern border when they are helping drug smugglers and coyotes all in the name of filthy lucre, so we cannot tolerate a few border agents who, in the name of money, sell out America and insult the good name of most of our border agents.

So all of those who make money off of illegal entrants should be accountable, and it makes no difference, Mr. Speaker, who they are. The rule of law should be enforced. It is illegal to enter the United States without permission. That is the rule, and it should be enforced by honest border agents. And people that enter illegally should be held accountable.

It makes no difference who those people are, whether they are illegals that cross, whether they are narcoterrorists that bring money or drugs into the United States to sell, whether they are coyotes, or whether they are illicit businesses in the United States that exploit illegals that are working here, or whether they are corrupt border agents. All of these must be held accountable for the actions they commit, because the border is a national security issue.

And that's just the way it is.

STAGNATING MIDDLE-CLASS INCOMES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. EMANUEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, while the Republican Members of Congress have been blocking the first minimum-wage increase in 9 years, there is new evidence that income stagnation is not just hurting lower middle-class families but middle-class families across the board.

Just the other day, the Los Angeles Times reported that income stagnation is now hitting people with a 4-year college education. In fact, the White House's own economists report that earnings and income for employees with 4-year college degrees fell by 5.2 percent between 2000 and 2004, during the President's first term. That is when adjusted for inflation. So, basically, if you have a college degree education, you had a decline in income.

Now, for 30 years, 40 years we have told people that you earn what you learn. A college degree today is no longer as valuable a ticket to success as it was before. You have to literally

go back 30 years, to the 1970s stagflation, when people with a college education saw their income decline.

Now, what is happening in addition to income decline in America? This isn't just for working stiffs. This is for people with a 4-year college education and also for people with a master's education.

Energy prices? Well, they are up, more than doubled. In fact, when the President took office, gas was \$1.33 a gallon. Today, it has gone up to close to \$3 a gallon.

Health care costs. Health care costs for a family of four has risen 78 percent, to \$11,000 a year for a family of four.

College costs for their kids, up 38 percent for a 4-year college education.

Savings, for the first time since World War II, are in negative territory, which is why people say bankruptcy and debt is one of their biggest economic concerns besides filling up their car with gas.

So take that whole picture: incomes declining, energy prices up, close to doubling; health care costs \$11,000 a year for a family of four, and continuing at 25 percent increases; college costs up 38 percent; savings in negative territory. We have a Swiss cheese economy, and it is hurting and killing the middle class, who have done everything right. They got told to get a college education and you earn what you learn. Today that college education ain't enough. They went out and earned a master's degree in education. That ain't enough.

And on top of that, besides incomes going down, all the costs to maintain a middle-class life, health care, energy costs, education, and retirement security, are all under attack. And what do my colleagues do when it comes to retirement security, when corporation after corporation is eliminating pensions? They want Social Security to lead the way.

The plan for retirement security isn't, when companies are eliminating pensions, to have Social Security eliminated or privatized. It is to give them that security that people know, that people like, and that is the security that comes with Social Security.

On energy. What is their answer to rising costs? As my colleague from Oregon said before, they handed over \$14.5 billion in taxpayer subsidies to big oil companies so they could make additional profit. My view is if gas is 75 bucks a barrel, or 74 bucks a barrel, let the free market work. Use your profits to drill. Don't take taxpayers to subsidize it. People out there are paying twice, once at the pump at 3 bucks a gallon and once on April 15 when we hand over \$15 billion a year.

And for health care costs? They handed off to the pharmaceutical companies an additional \$130 billion in profits.

Middle-class families are struggling with ever-increasing taxes, ever-increasing costs and stagnant incomes. It

is time to have an economic strategy that, again, lifts all boats.

Now, I don't want to take a stroll down memory lane; but in the 1990s, when we were running balanced budgets and we were running a surplus, incomes for all people, not just the top end, but for all workers were up. College costs were contained, health care inflation was running alongside regular inflation, and energy prices were actually \$1.33 a gallon, not 3 bucks a gallon.

That was a time in which we actually made an improvement. We invested by giving all kids health care whose parents didn't have health care. We created 22 million jobs. We ended welfare as we know it. We put people to work rather than dependency. We had record homeownership, low inflation, a balanced budget, record surpluses, and began to pay down the debt.

Put your fiscal house in order. Invest in education, health care, and energy independence in America. It is time for a change. It is time for new priorities.

VENEZUELA AND TERRORISM

(Mr. ROYCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, last week, the Subcommittee on International Terrorism that I chair held a hearing on Venezuela's link to terrorism. On May 15, the State Department designated Venezuela as not cooperating fully with U.S. anti-terrorism efforts. Mr. Speaker, from what we heard from the Department officials, it is not that Venezuela is not cooperating fully; it is that Venezuela is not cooperating at all.

Disconcerting was the testimony we heard from the State Department that Venezuelan passports can be forged with child-like ease, and that the U.S. is detaining at our borders an increasing number of third-country aliens carrying false Venezuelan documents. According to a 2003 U.S. News report, thousands of Venezuelan identity documents are being distributed to foreigners from Middle Eastern nations, including Syria, Pakistan, Egypt and Lebanon.

We know that travel documents are as important as weapons for terrorists. Mr. Speaker, post-9/11, it is reckless not to view our immigration policy as national security policy.

AMBASSADOR NOMINEE ROBERT HOAGLAND

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to express my concerns with the nomination of Robert Hoagland as U.S. Ambassador to Armenia. Many questions remain regarding U.S. policy on the Armenian genocide, and they remain unanswered. Key Senate Foreign Relations Committee